



Minutes

St. Margaret's Community Liaison Group

Date: Tuesday, 10 May 2022.
Time: 7.00pm
Location: Online – Zoom meeting
Meeting No: 38

Attendees:

Name	Organisation	Initial
Danny O'Hare	Chair	Chair
Leighanne Dunne	Secretariat	LD
Michelle Molloy	daa	MM
Sean McBride	daa	SMB
Matthew McAleese	Fingal County Council	MMA
Grainne O'Reilly	Dunbro Lane Residents Group	GOR
Ciara O'Reilly	Dunbro Lane Residents Group (as GOR request)	COR
Brendan O'Donoghue	Dunbro Lane Residents Group	BOD
Pearse Sutton	St. Margaret's and The Ward Residents Group	PS
Mary Grogan	St. Margaret's and The Ward Residents Group	MG
Liam O'Gradaigh	St. Margaret's GAA Club	LOG
Ray Fox	Irish Farmers Association	RF

Apologies and Absences:

Name	Organisation	Initial
Sean Costello	St. Margaret's National School	SC
Ian Carey	Fingal County Council	IC



Introduction	Action Owner
<p>Following some initial interconnectivity and audio difficulties experienced by some members, the meeting commenced with the Chair welcoming all attendees.</p>	
1. Apologies & Absences	Action Owner
<p>Secretariat advised apology received from SC and noted IC's absence.</p> <p>MG had notified the Chair prior to the meeting that Alice Ratcliffe, a former member of the Dublin Airport Stakeholder Forum, had recently passed away. The Chair expressed his condolences and noted, with thanks, Alice's contribution to the Forum in earlier years.</p>	
2. Approval of Minutes	Action Owner
<p>Previous meeting minutes were approved.</p>	
3. Matters Arising	
<p>LOG advised that he would like to make further comment on a specific item from the previous meeting and will do so in the Residents' Update.</p>	
4. FCC Update – presented by MMA	Action Owner
<p>MMA advised that there were no actions from the previous meeting.</p> <p>MMA provided an update on the draft Fingal Development Plan advising that the last day for submissions is 12 May.</p>	
5. daa Update – presented by MM	Action Owner
<p>MM presented daa's update including the actions from the previous meeting, Dublin Airport update covering passenger security queues and numbers, Community Fund, Instrument Landing System testing and North Runway.</p> <p>GOR had submitted two questions to the Secretariat prior to the meeting regarding Gate 11 and the West Apron.</p> <p>MM advised that there had been no changes made to Gate 11 and confirmed that it is only used for exiting the airfield under exceptional circumstances and is not normally used for entrance purposes. MM advised that vehicles located to the right of Gate 11 undertake works on several projects, including Runway 10/28 rehabilitation and Runway 16/34 overlay in recent years, and</p>	



currently works on critical taxiways and the crosswind LVP (low visibility procedures) projects.

With respect to the query regarding naming of the West Apron. MM advised that each apron is named because of its location on the airfield, i.e. South Apron, North Apron and West Apron.

MM welcomed Sean McBride to the meeting and introduced him as the Senior Project Manager on the Airfield Underpass Project who would provide an overview of the project in response to residents' request for same.

SMB gave a detailed presentation to the members including an overview of the airfield which displayed the location of the proposed underpass, along with the extent of the perimeter road around North Runway. SMB explained that the underpass is required to facilitate safe crossing of vehicles from the eastern to the western side of the airfield.

MG asked why the current crossing is no longer able to be used and SMB advised that the IAA has determined that it will not be a permissible option when North Runway operation commences.

MG asked how much additional traffic this project will create and what disruption will it cause to the local community. SMB explained that there is very detailed information included in the application documentation regarding traffic volumes and approximately 1,800 vehicles per week is envisaged during the first phase of the project when 70% of the vehicles will access the airfield via Gate 9, with Gate 1B being used for the later phases. MM explained that construction vehicles entering the airside environment will be pre-screened in the western compound and this forms part of the current planning application, details of which are similar to those presented to members last year regarding the pre-screening facility at the same compound.

RF asked if the underpass to the western apron will to be used to increase passenger flights. SMB advised that this application relates to the construction of the underpass and its access to the west apron, and future developments, if any, would be subject to separate planning and regulatory review.

LOG asked with the current airport expansion, could there be a new western access point with a car park, and will the underpass be used to transport passengers from the western side to the existing terminals. SMB advised that the underpass is strictly only airside, and there is no plan to transport passengers from the eastern side to the west.

GOR sought clarification on an earlier statement advising that access from the west side of the airport near Dunbro Lane is not envisaged. SMB confirmed that this application does not include a new public access point or gate from the western airfield.



<p>GOR asked when daa got planning permission for the western apron, and MM advised that the project was developed around 15 years ago and the apron has been in operation for approximately 10 years. GOR requested information in relation to that planning process and MM will revert with same.</p> <p>GOR said that there had been developments at Gate 11 in recent times and that a new barrier had been installed. MM said she will check this issue and revert.</p> <p>GOR asked how much additional noise will be expected with the underpass. SMB advised that this application for the underpass is to host the current operation and any other additional developments will be subject to relevant planning.</p> <p>RF asked is the new underpass is part of a plan for further airport expansion. SMB advised this application facilitates current capacity and also safeguards for future use.</p> <p>BOD asked where the clay from the project will be moved to. SMB explains that as much materials as possible will be reused by the project and the unused clay will be transported to a facility in Huntstown.</p> <p>COR asked if the clay could be used to build noise barriers adjacent Dunbro Lane similar to what was done for North Runway. MM advised that such a proposal does not form part of this application and that the lands in question would not be suitable as they continue to be leased to local farmers.</p> <p>MG requested daa to send the members an email to notify when the application for planning has been submitted and MM committed to do so.</p>	<p>daa</p> <p>daa</p> <p>daa</p>
<p>6. Residents Update</p>	<p>Action Owner</p>
<p>LOG asked when members can expect a comment from FCC regarding Professor Munzel’s presentation. LOG acknowledged daa’s earlier response and expressed his concern that the health of the residents did not appear to be of importance to FCC. MMA advised that the presentation had been received with thanks but there was no requirement for FCC to provide a comment on same.</p> <p>LOG said he would like it noted his concerns regarding the importance of the topic.</p> <p>MG questioned the role of the CLG, stating frustration at not receiving answers to questions raised. MM said that daa had responded to all requests for information from residents, citing most recent examples of noise monitoring data and high-resolution mapping. The Chair added that in his independent capacity he had noted full cooperation in this regarding throughout the years.</p>	

<p>MG asked the Chair what he suggests can be done to move forward with the FCC. MMA advised the role of the CLG is to provide the residents with information, and if there are any queries in relation to the wider area, both groups do their best to address. RF added that he is also disappointed there was no commentary from FCC.</p> <p>LOG requested the presentation to be shared with relevant senior management within FCC and MMA confirmed he will do so.</p> <p>PS said he wanted to further discuss a conversation from a previous meeting regarding residents’ submissions on development plans. MMA advised that members had been informed that he could not discuss the submissions because they formed part of the statutory process to which he is a party. PS added he did not want to discuss the submission but the subject of the submission in relation to the change in the character of St. Margaret’s and The Ward communities.</p> <p>The Chair said members had previously been advised that CLG could discuss individual topics that members may wish to put forward. The Chair advised that he will check the minutes from the meeting at which this was discussed and decided in order to establish whether his recollection was correct and that it would be discussed at the next meeting of CLG</p> <p>COR asked if a local property on Dunbro Lane could be renovated to accommodate some Ukraine refugees currently being housed by a family on Dunbro Lane. MM said that this request had already been submitted by COR’s brother to the Secretariat and she will liaise with daa’s Property Department and revert.</p> <p>LOG advised that the Commission for Aviation Regulation had published information relating to airport charges in which he believes there is ambiguity regarding reference to Condition 5 of North Runway’s planning permission and asked if daa could provide clarification. MM advised that she cannot speak on behalf of CAR and that LOG should seek clarification from them regarding the content of their documentation.</p> <p>LOG asked what will happen when North Runway opens and MM confirmed that if the Relevant Action planning process was not complete by then, daa will comply with the planning conditions that exist at that time. LOG said the planning process would not be complete by then and requested a comment on how daa intend to comply with condition 5 when the North Runway opens.</p>	<p>FCC</p> <p>Chair</p> <p>daa</p> <p>daa</p>
<p>7. Dublin Airport Planning Applications</p>	<p>Action Owner</p>
<p>No questions or queries raised by residents.</p>	



8. Chairperson Meeting	Action Owner
The Chair advised that he had no meetings.	
9. AOB	Action Owner
No items raised.	

Meeting concluded at 8:25pm

Next Scheduled CLG Meeting:

7pm on Tuesday, 12 July 2022, via Zoom